SECTIONS/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION: (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) Paul Brecher

LEADER A

LEADER B

20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem sets well (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree) 
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:

Paul was amazing - dedicated, enthusiastic, extremely helpful. Fabulous handouts, extra office hours - I won the section TA lottery!

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course:

Labs - really not too relevant, could I have taken a marathon version over intercession, rather than devote 3 hours a week?

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chem 17

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Less deviations from previous

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

No, only if you have to take it

What did you gain from this course?

Prelim credit
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION: (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)  
19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)  

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A)  Paul Brocher  Leader (B)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LEADER A</th>
<th></th>
<th>LEADER B</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

What did you gain from this course?

The knowledge I NEVER have to take a chem class again.
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION: (if applicable)
18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all) ○
19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree) ○

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers
Leader (A) Paul Bracher Leader (B) [redacted]

20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree) ○
21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree) ○
22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree) ○
23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree) ○
24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree) ○
25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree) ○
26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree) ○
27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree) ○
28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree) ○

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.
A) knows material really well, gave great hand-outs, made sections worthwhile
B) very patient

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.
A) section was helpful as a clear review
B) lab was SO LONG but fun.

COURSE OVERALL
What preparation or background is necessary to take this course? Chem 17

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?
- better time management of material /lectures
- move slower
A weekly review sessions NECESSARY!

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

What did you gain from this course?
pain, much pain.
**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:** (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:** See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) [Redacted] Leader (B) [Redacted]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEADER A</th>
<th>LEADER B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] 4</td>
<td>[ ] 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] 5</td>
<td>[ ] 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| [ ] 1     | [ ] 1     |
| [ ] 2     | [ ] 2     |
| [ ] 3     | [ ] 3     |
| [ ] 4     | [ ] 4     |
| [ ] 5     | [ ] 5     |

20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1
   - [ ] 2
   - [ ] 3
   - [ ] 4
   - [ ] 5

**Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.**

Paul was always knowledgeable at reception to answering questions. Paul was also a good and informative and made lab fun. Both were excellent TF's.

Paul + [Redacted] are awesome people.

**Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.**

Useful supplement to class.

---

**COURSE OVERALL**

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Yes, Chem 17 or other org knowledge

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Many more detailed background on materials/mechanisms, generally strong teaching.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes, it was taught fairly well & wasn't boring. Great TF's too.

What did you gain from this course?
### SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:
See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) Paul Brown Leader (B) __________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEADER A</th>
<th>LEADER B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)  
21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)  
22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem sets well (5 = strongly agree)  
23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)  
24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)  
25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)  
26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)  
27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)  
28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)  

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.

Paul was really excellent. He made extremely helpful handouts for each class and I learned a lot. I give Paul my full recommendation.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.

Very helpful.

### COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chemistry or Biochemistry

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

No more lab! or less lab! or don't make us do small descriptive every time. Lab was often more tedious & time-consuming than educational.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes. Interesting but a lot of work.

What did you gain from this course?

better synthesis of chem & bio  
a deeper understanding of how chem, bio, etc. work.
### SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>LO 1</th>
<th>LO 2</th>
<th>LO 3</th>
<th>LO 4</th>
<th>LO 5</th>
<th>HI 1</th>
<th>HI 2</th>
<th>HI 3</th>
<th>HI 4</th>
<th>HI 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:** See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A): Paul Brach  
Leader (B): [Redacted]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>LO 1</th>
<th>LO 2</th>
<th>LO 3</th>
<th>LO 4</th>
<th>LO 5</th>
<th>HI 1</th>
<th>HI 2</th>
<th>HI 3</th>
<th>HI 4</th>
<th>HI 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:**

Paul is great! His handouts were useful and he cared about our needs.

**Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course:**

- Sections were helpful in learning material.
- Labs were interesting, although they took a lot of time.

### COURSE OVERALL

**What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?**

A strong chemistry background is necessary; knowledge of biology is very useful.

**Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?**

- Well taught.
- It's a good bridge between biology and chemistry.

**Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?**

Yes, but only if you're really interested or it's required. It's a lot of time.

**What did you gain from this course?**

Knowledge of chemistry.
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION: (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

   Leader (A) P. Brokensy  
   Leader (B) N/A  

LEADER A

20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem sets, etc. well (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)
   - [ ] 1  
   - [ ] 2  
   - [ ] 3  
   - [ ] 4  
   - [ ] 5  

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:

Created an easy-going atmosphere; was very engaging (4 funny!) Made excellent handouts; went above and beyond to help any student learn. Very approachable and friendly and he knows his stuff.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course:

Very useful in learning "tricks" for solving problems and getting feedback and guidance while working problems.

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chem 17 or Chem 20/30

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Well-paced, plenty of relevant problems - interesting material.

Weakness - competitive (200 premeds fighting tooth and nail for med school --worthy grades - let it go, people!)

I wish less emphasis on exams -- Maitland Jones gives unlimited time.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes, a painless way to get orgo out of the way, or get more practice.

What did you gain from this course?

Reinforcement of organic mechanisms & problem solving; interesting biochemical perspective; appreciation for science/chemistry. My grade probably won't be great, but I enjoyed it & learned a lot, and that's the point.
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION: (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)  
19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)  

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A)  Paul Bracker  Leader (B)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>HI</th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEADER A</td>
<td>LEADER B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.

Paul's handouts were excellent.  
[Redacted] didn't seem to care or try in the beginning but warmed up towards the end of the course.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.

Sections helped me to understand material better. Lab was fun but had no relevance to what we were being taught.

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chem 201, 30, or Chem 17 (and basic chem)

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Goes a little quickly. Interesting material though.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Only to those who need to take it.

What did you gain from this course?

Knowledge of the chemical component of biological events.
**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:** (if applicable)
- 18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)
- 19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)

**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:** See blackboard for names AND numbers
Leader (A) Paul Fletcher  Leader (B) [Blank]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEADER A</th>
<th>LEADER B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>6 7 8 9 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 12 13 14 15</td>
<td>11 12 13 14 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 17 18 19 20</td>
<td>16 17 18 19 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 22 23 24 25</td>
<td>21 22 23 24 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 27 28 29 30</td>
<td>26 27 28 29 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 32 33 34 35</td>
<td>31 32 33 34 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 37 38 39 40</td>
<td>36 37 38 39 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 42 43 44 45</td>
<td>41 42 43 44 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 47 48 49 50</td>
<td>46 47 48 49 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 52 53 54 55</td>
<td>51 52 53 54 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 57 58 59 60</td>
<td>56 57 58 59 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 62 63 64 65</td>
<td>61 62 63 64 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 67 68 69 70</td>
<td>66 67 68 69 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 72 73 74 75</td>
<td>71 72 73 74 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 77 78 79 80</td>
<td>76 77 78 79 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 82 83 84 85</td>
<td>81 82 83 84 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86 87 88 89 90</td>
<td>86 87 88 89 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 92 93 94 95</td>
<td>91 92 93 94 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 97 98 99 100</td>
<td>96 97 98 99 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 102 103 104 105</td>
<td>101 102 103 104 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106 107 108 109 110</td>
<td>106 107 108 109 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111 112 113 114 115</td>
<td>111 112 113 114 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116 117 118 119 120</td>
<td>116 117 118 119 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121 122 123 124 125</td>
<td>121 122 123 124 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126 127 128 129 130</td>
<td>126 127 128 129 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131 132 133 134 135</td>
<td>131 132 133 134 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136 137 138 139 140</td>
<td>136 137 138 139 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141 142 143 144 145</td>
<td>141 142 143 144 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146 147 148 149 150</td>
<td>146 147 148 149 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151 152 153 154 155</td>
<td>151 152 153 154 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156 157 158 159 160</td>
<td>156 157 158 159 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161 162 163 164 165</td>
<td>161 162 163 164 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166 167 168 169 170</td>
<td>166 167 168 169 170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER. Paul was the best Chem TF I have had. He was extremely good at explaining concepts & his hand-outs were extremely helpful. He kept lab interactive and fun.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.

**COURSE OVERALL**

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

**Basic Chemistry**

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

*The materials were good*

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

*Yes, but only if people are willing to work*

What did you gain from this course?

*A better understanding of organic chemistry, self-discipline*
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION: (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)

19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) Paul Brachler Leader (B) __________________________

20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)

21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)

22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)

23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)

24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)

25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)

26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)

27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)

28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:

I think they should be voluntary.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course:

They’re fine (sections); labs are pointless and do not contribute to the course at all.

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chemistry → AP high school, 5/7, 15

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

improved ⇒ labs could be more related to course material; sections would be voluntary

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Not unless you have to take it ⇒ it’s 9 hours a week!

What did you gain from this course?

a hatred for chemistry
### SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION: (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)
   -  
20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
   -  
21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
   -  
22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem sets keys well (5 = strongly agree)
   -  
23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
   -  
24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
   -  
25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
   -  
26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
   -  
27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
   -  
28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)
   -  

**Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.**

Section: Great handouts & efforts in relaying material effectively

Lab: Very knowledgeable & helpful during lab times

**Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.**

Section: really helped my understanding of material

Lab: seemed like a completely different class

### COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

**Chem 17**

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

A little the first presented could be slowed down and made more useful for real-life understanding of things

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes, for premed... those interested in chem/bio

What did you gain from this course?

Better understanding of bio chemical pathways
**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:** (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)  
   ![Score](image)

19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)  
   ![Score](image)

**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:** See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) Bracher  
Leader (B) [Blank]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.

Bracher was quite good. At times, however, he did not adequately understand the subject material.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.

Both were fine. Would be nice to have more connection between lab and course.

**COURSE OVERALL**

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Strong organic Chem 17 IS NOT ENOUGH!

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Too much material too fast and inadequate demonstrations, reviews, problem solving. Expectations unclear. In terms of what part of the just material will be on the exam.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

NO.

What did you gain from this course?

A good grounding in topics related to Bracher. For all my problems with this class, I did learn a lot of interesting things.
### SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Leader A</th>
<th>Leader B</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:

Leader (A) **David Brash**  
Leader (B) **[Redacted]**

### Comments on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:

- Section: Provided more problem solving notes than concept review. Extra office hours were helpful. Using approachable and early available.

- Lab: Made labs fun, was patient, and created a relaxed learning atmosphere.

### Comments on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course:

- Lab: Although relevant to anyone aspiring to become a scientist, not helpful in understanding course material.

- Section: Would be more helpful if smaller. Use of office hours sessions in the evenings where TAs help with problem solving.

### COURSE OVERALL

**What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?**

- Strong background in basic intro course

**Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?**

- Quite fun

**Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?**

- Yes, interesting but challenging

**What did you gain from this course?**

- *Integrally more rigorous than intro course.
  - Helped course material - concepts but necessary the problems!*

*Better understanding of chemistry in life processes*
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION: (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)
   19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

   Leader (A) Paul Bracker   Leader (B) [Blank]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

What did you gain from this course?
**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:** (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)  
19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)

**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:** See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) Paul  
Leader (B)  

**LEADER A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEADER B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:

Paul is knowledgeable, friendly & helpful  
Bob is excellent, knowledgeable & helpful

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course:

Labs were fun & helpful

**COURSE OVERALL**

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chem 17

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes - if you like chemistry

What did you gain from this course?

Solid understanding of chemistry of life.
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION: (if applicable)

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) Paul Bracher  Leader (B) ________________

20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
   ● ○ ○ ○ ●

21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)
   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.

Paul is the man. Just a great TA.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.

They were helpful, but slow.

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Organic Chem background

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

I really liked the material, and how things were tied to practical applications in life.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes

What did you gain from this course?

I learned a lot about chem and organic chem's practical uses in biology.
**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:**

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all) 
   - 1 2 3 4 5

19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree) 
   - 1 2 3

**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:** See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) __________  Leader (B) __________

| 20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree) | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)   | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree) | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree) | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree) | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)                     | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)                    | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree) | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)                      | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER: 
Paul is a great teacher and he's funny and nice. I like the handouts and practice problems. I felt like [redacted] got more helpful as the year went on. [redacted] was also really helpful.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course:

The labs were completely unrelated to the subject matter. I've heard that they match up with Chem 30 though.

**COURSE OVERALL**

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course? (Chem 27 is mostly just applications of what we learned in Chem 17)

At least Chem 17 preferably a better chem 17 than we had last semester.

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

It's very organized and the lectures are clear and the way he fits out the problems keeps a good pace for taking notes. The exams are long, so if you don't know how to do a problem on the first glance, you aren't going to have time to think about it.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yeah, it was pretty good.

What did you gain from this course?

I found an interest in organic chemistry that I didn't think was possible after Chem 17.

Printed in the U.S.A. (C1F3) CP22-1047
**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:**

18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)
   - LEADER A: 3
   - LEADER B: 5

19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 3
   - LEADER B: 5

**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:** See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A): [Name Redacted]

Leader (B): [Name Redacted]

20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 5
   - LEADER B: 5

21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 5
   - LEADER B: 5

22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 5
   - LEADER B: 5

23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 5
   - LEADER B: 5

24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 5
   - LEADER B: 5

25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 5
   - LEADER B: 5

26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 5
   - LEADER B: 5

27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 5
   - LEADER B: 5

28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)
   - LEADER A: 5
   - LEADER B: 5

**Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.**

Section: TF knew his stuff - helped a lot.
Lab leader was the greatest lab TF ever - very helpful.

**Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.**

Labs were enjoyable this year - the content, not enjoyable b/c of the length of lab.

**COURSE OVERALL**

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chem 17 or 20/30

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

None

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes. Life organic chem is pretty interesting.

What did you gain from this course?

Good understanding if more orgo.
### SECTION/LAB/STUDIO EVALUATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>LO 1</th>
<th>LO 2</th>
<th>LO 3</th>
<th>LO 4</th>
<th>LO 5</th>
<th>HI 1</th>
<th>HI 2</th>
<th>HI 3</th>
<th>HI 4</th>
<th>HI 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18. Fraction attended when attendance is voluntary (1 = none, 3 = half, 5 = all)</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Were helpful in understanding course material (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:

Leader (A) **Paul Bracher**  
Leader (B) ...

### SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER EVALUATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LEADER A</th>
<th>LEADER B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.

**Paul Bracher** is an excellent TF with a great sense of humor. **is extremely knowledgeable, best lab TF ever**

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS and their contribution to the course.

Labs had little to do with course material, but were pleasant enough.

### COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

**Chem 17**

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes. Great TF's, well organized

What did you gain from this course?