Bracher, Paul

Had a good understanding of subject matter	5.00
Gave clear, well-structured presentations	5.00
Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well	5.00
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly	5.00
Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving	5.00
Answered questions well	5.00
Encouraged participation	5.00
Was receptive to answering questions outside class	5.00
Was effective overall	5.00
Number of Responses: 6	

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers	LEADER A 10 2 3 4 5	LEADER B (1 2 3 4 5
	678910	678910
	11 (2) (3) (4) (5)	11 12 13 14 15
Leader (A) Leader (B)	16 17 18 19 20	16 17 18 19 20
	21 22 23 24 25	2) 22 23 24 25
	26 27 28 29 30	26 27 28 29 30
	(31) (32) (33) (34) (35)	31 32 33 34 35
	36 37 38 39 40	36 37 38 39 40
NA NA	LO HI	LO HI
17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree) 18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)	1234	12345
19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree)	1234	12345
20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)	1234	12345
21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)	1234	12345
22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)	1234	12345
23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)	0000	12345
24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree) 25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree)	1234 ()	12345 12345
Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.	LA WADETVO	His
Paul Bracher is the best section leader	, pu mon va	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
us to work hand and also has a talent	(M explain	illig
things clearly his sense of humou	VII CARAT	·
, mos cleavey his sense of himlen	vis often	,
Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course materia	Il? Were they integral	to the course?
How could they be improved?	eneral !	
How could they be improved? Labs were such a great learning expension.		
is a very patient Labit.	F. T.	
The part of the same of the sa		
COURSE OVERALL		
What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?	•	
Chem 20.		
Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?		
Strengtus: All the Ifs are great! is great at answering verypatient.		
is at at an cincumula	a costino.	
is often on what	7	
very panent.		
- Patient TF supe	istan.	
Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?		
Yes a learning of organic themselve		

	LEADER A	LEADER B
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers	2345	12345
	67890	67890
	11 (12 (13 (14 (15	11 12 13 14 15
Leader (A) Brachar Leader (B)	(6) (7) (8) (9) (20)	16 17 18 19 20
Leader (A)	21 22 23 24 25	21 22 23 24 25
	26 27 28 29 39	26 27 28 29 39
	31 32 33 34 35	31 32 33 34 35
	36 37 38 39 40	36 37 38 39 40
	LO HI 1234 ♦	LO HI
17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)	1234	12345
18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)	0234	12345
19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree) 20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)	12340	12345
20. Assignments and/or problem sets retained promptly (6 = strongly agree) 21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)	1234	12345
21. Was able to guide discussions and neep transfer a second seco	0 2 3 4	12345
23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)	0234	02345
24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)	0234	12345
25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree)	1234	12345
Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.	1	
the proposes lots of stuff for section, is great	25.07 013	116
the proposes lots of stuff for section, is great hours. How even had individual involved involved interturgy with all	j kidi habh	٠
sermer to prepure for the exams.		
Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course mater	ial? Were they integra	al to the course?
the state of the s		i
How could triey be improved.	J have Alt	Vt-R
This is the only hour if all my dasses that	700	
missed.		
M. 55001		
COURSE OVERALL		
What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?	•	
A Lot!		
Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?		
It was a great course.		
Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?		
If you want to pour chemistry, this 15	on avenu	2
course. You get your money's with for	on cullege.	
)	

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers	LEADER A LEADER B 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 6 7 8 9 10
Phahar Ladar (P)	11 12 13 14 15 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
Leader (A) Etacker Leader (B)	21 22 23 24 25 27 22 23 24 25
	26 27 28 29 30 28 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 31 32 33 34 35
	36 37 38 39 40 36 37 38 39 40 36 37 38 39 40
and the control of th	NA LO HI LO HI ○ 1234 1234 5
17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree) 18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)	0 0000 0000
10 Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree)	
20 Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)	0 02346 02345
21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree) 22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)	0 0234 0 02345
23 Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)	0 0234 02345 02345 02345
24 Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)	0 0000 0000
25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree) Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.	
By far the best TF I have had h	nerc.
Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course m How could they be improved?	
Esmablas, have more TF's thee	Paul Bracker.
COURSE OVERALL	
What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?	
Lets of chemistry	
Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?	
Improve the paring and the amount	of prostric
Tubrase of bound	*
werteng.	
	\$
Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?	
No, it = really hard.	

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers Leader (A) Paul Brache Keader (B)	LEADER A ② 3 4 5 ⑥ 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 ⑥ 17 18 19 20 21 23 24 25 22 23 24 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 26 25 25 26 25 25 27 25 25 27 25 25 28 27 25	LEADER B (1 2 3 4 5) (6 7 8 9 10) (11 13 14 15) (6 17 18 19 20) (21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2
17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree) 18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree) 19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree) 20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree) 21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree) 22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree) 23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree) 24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree) 25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree)	NA LO HI O 1234	LO HI
Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER. Paul is the best! Very carry and e		
Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course represented they be improved?	naterial? Were they integr	al to the course?

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chem 20

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

9 AM classes are bad!

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?

Yes. It really offers of conceptual understanding chem

SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers		4	EADER 2 3 4	(5)	LEAD	(4) (5)
			7 8 9 12 13 14	1) (15)	6 7 8 11 12 13	3 (14) (15)
Leader (A) Paul Brockup Leader (B)		16 (17 18 (19 22 23 &	9 20 (16 (17 (1 21) 22 (2	
		26 (27 28 2	9 30	26 27 2 31 32 3	9 29 30
			32 33 3 37 38 3		36 37 3	
of cubicat matter (5 - strongly acree)	NA O	LO ①	234		LO ①②③	
17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree) 18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)	00		23(4 23(4		123 123) (4 (5) (4 (5
19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree) 20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)	Ŏ	1	23 23	0	123	(4) (5) (3) (4) (5)
21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree) 22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)	0	1	236	9 \varTheta	12	9 4 5
23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree) 24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)	00	1	23(23(9 🚳	12	3 4 5 3 4 5
25 WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree)	0	①	<u> </u>	4 0	<u>(1)(2)(</u>	345
Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.						
Vary good						
0 /						
Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course r	nate	rial? Wer	e they	integral	to the c	ourse?
How could they be improved?						
						<u></u>
COURSE OVERALL						
What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?						

Chem 20

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Nove effective Lutives & a better text The most useful partof the course were the sections shakene videos - der not get up for lecture Q1.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?

Yes, Me subject material is great, but the course meds improvement

		LEADER A		LEADER B
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers		234	(5)	12345
		6789		67891
		0000		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
			_	16 17 18 19 2
Leader (A) Paul Evader (B)		(6) (7) (8) (9)		
		21) 22) 23) 24)		21) 22) 23) 24) 25
No. 1		26 27 28 29	30	26 27 28 29 30
·		31 32 33 34	(35)	31 32 33 34 39
		36 37 38 39	_	36 37 38 39 40
			_	
The first of the f	NA	LO	HI	LO H
17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)	\cup	1234		02345
18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)		1234		02345
19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree)		1234	3	12345
20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)	\bigcirc	1234	(4)	12345
20. Assignments and of problem see returned problems (5 strongly agree)	Ŏ	0234		12345
21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)	\asymp	1234		0.0345
22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)				
23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)	Y	0004		02345
24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)	O	1234		02345
25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree)	\bigcirc	1234	3	02345
Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.				
Comment on the SECTION and/of LAB LEADER.				
		•		
Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course m	ate	rial? Were they in	tegral t	o the course?
	uic	nar. Word and,		
How could they be improved?				
1				
COURSE OVERALL				
COURSE OVERALL	-			······································
What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?				
chem 20 at waste				
Cytem 20 at 1995				
Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?				
Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved:				
<u> </u>				
				··········
Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?				
Would you recommend the second				
1				

Printed in the U.S.A. (C1.F3) CP23-0612