Bracher, Paul

Had a good understanding of subject matter 5.00
Gave clear, well-structured presentations 5.00
Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well 5.00
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly 5.00
Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving 5.00
Answered questions well 5.00
Encouraged participation 5.00
Was receptive to answering questions outside class 5.00
Was effective overall 5.00

Number of Responses: 6
**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:** See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) ___________ Leader (B) ___________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LEADER A</th>
<th>LEADER B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree)
20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
23. Encouraged participation (5 = Strongly agree)
24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
25. **WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL** (5 = strongly agree)

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:

Paul Brachen is the best section leader. He motivates us to work hard and also has a talent in explaining things clearly. His sense of humour is great.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course material? Were they integral to the course? How could they be improved?

Labs were such a great learning experience. [Redacted] is a very patient lab instructor.

---

**COURSE OVERALL**

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chem 20

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

**Strengths:** All the Tfs are great!

[Redacted] is great at answering questions. Very patient.

[Redacted]: Patient TF superstar.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?

Yes. A learning of organic chemistry.
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) Bracher Leader (B) ________

17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree)
20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree)

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:

He prepared lots of stuff for section, is great about office hours. He even had individual meetings with all kids in the section to prepare for the exams.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course material? Were they integral to the course? How could they be improved?

This is the only hour of all my classes that I have NEVER missed.

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

A Lot!

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

It was a great course.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?

If you want to learn chemistry, this is an awesome course. You get your money's worth from college.
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A)  Bracher  Leader (B)  

17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree)
20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree)

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:

By far the best TF I have had here.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course material? Were they integral to the course? How could they be improved?

Essentially, have more TF's like Paul Bracher.

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Lots of chemistry

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Improve the pacing and the amount of practice working.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?

No, it's really hard.
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A)  Paul Brach Leaver (B)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>HI</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER:

Paul is the best! Very caring and effective.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course material? Were they integral to the course? How could they be improved?

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chem 20

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

9 AM classes are bad!

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?

Yes. It really offers a conceptual understanding of organic chemistry.
SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER: See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A) Paul Brochu    Leader (B) _______________________

17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree)
20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (5 = strongly agree)

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.

Very good

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course material? Were they integral to the course? How could they be improved?

COURSE OVERALL

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Chem 20

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

More effective lectures & a better text
The most useful part of the course were the sections & lab videos - do not get up for lecture C-9.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?

Yes, the subject material is great, but the course needs improvement
**SECTION/LAB/STUDIO LEADER:** See blackboard for names AND numbers

Leader (A)  **Paul E. Shank**  Leader (B)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)</th>
<th>LEADER A</th>
<th>LEADER B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>LO   HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Used blackboards, visual aids, and handouts well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**25. WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL** (5 = strongly agree)

Comment on the SECTION and/or LAB LEADER.

Comment on the SECTIONS/LABS/STUDIOS. Were they helpful in understanding course material? Were they integral to the course? How could they be improved?

**COURSE OVERALL**

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not? What did you gain from this course?